# **Supplementary Material**

## Supplementary Table 1 Themes and codes from data analysis for qualitative interviews

Codes
Improved asthma & symptoms
No noticeable change in asthma or breathing
Reduction in medication use
Improved medication adherence
Provides an alternative to medication
Improved breathing technique & posture
Identifying, and dealing with, asthma triggers
Prompts lifestyle changes
Managing breathlessness and chest tightness
Facilitates self-management
Improved knowledge of asthma and its treatment
Better use of healthcare resources
Relaxation
Thinking more positively about asthma
Provides reassurance
Feeling less alone
Views on 4-week challenge
Views on personalised asthma action plan (PAAP)
Views on asthma review
Views on breathing retraining
Views on stress management

Views on Friends & Family section Views on lifestyle modules Views on Asthma UK & helpline Views on the intervention design Views on website appearance Views on intervention credibility Views on delivery format Views on emails Views on information and advice Views on information novelty Views on interactive features Views on usability Views on information architecture Contextual factors influencing Relevance of intervention components intervention engagement Perceptions of asthma severity Time since diagnosis Confidence with, and dislike of, computers Season Other priorities Other health problems

# **Supplementary Table 2 COREQ checklist for qualitative interviews**

No	Item	Guide	Comments	Location in		
		questions/description		manuscript		
Dom	Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity					
Perso	onal Characteristics					
1.	Interviewer/facilitat	Which author/s	KG	Methods (Data		
	or	conducted the interview		collection)		
		or focus group?				
2.	Credentials	What were the	KG – PhD, CPsychol.	Methods (Data		
		researcher's		collection)		
		credentials? E.g. PhD,				
		MD				
3.	Occupation	What was their	KG – Health Psychologist	Methods (Data		
		occupation at the time of	& Research Fellow	collection)		
		the study?				
4.	Gender	Was the researcher male	Female	Methods (Data		
		or female?		collection)		
5.	Experience and	What experience or	Experienced qualitative	Methods (Data		
	training	training did the	postdoctoral researcher.	collection)		
		researcher have?				
Relai	Relationship with participants					
6.	Relationship	Was a relationship	Participants were not	Methods (Data		
	established	established prior to study	known to the researcher.	collection)		
		commencement?				

No	Item	Guide	Comments	Location in	
		questions/description		manuscript	
7.	Participant	What did the participants	Participants were told that	Methods (Data	
	knowledge of the	know about the	the interviews aimed to	collection)	
	interviewer	researcher? e.g. personal	explore their view and		
		goals, reasons for doing	experiences to help		
		the research	improve the research and		
			intervention for future		
			users.		
8.	Interviewer	What characteristics	The researcher was not	Methods (Data	
	characteristics	were reported about the	involved in intervention	collection)	
		interviewer/facilitator?	development, although		
		e.g. Bias, assumptions,	she was part of the same		
		reasons and interests in	digital research team,		
		the research topic	which may have been a		
			source of bias.		
Dom	ain 2: study design				
Theo	retical framework				
9.	Methodological	What methodological	Thematic analysis.	Methods (Data	
	orientation and	orientation was stated to		collection)	
	Theory	underpin the study? <i>e.g.</i>			
		grounded theory,			
		discourse analysis,			
		ethnography,			
		phenomenology, content			
		analysis			
Parti	Participant selection				

No	Item	Guide	Comments	Location in
		questions/description		manuscript
10.	Sampling	How were participants	All intervention	Methods
		selected? e.g. purposive,	participants from the	(Participants
		convenience,	feasibility trial were	and
		consecutive, snowball	approached.	recruitment)
11.	Method of	How were participants	All intervention group	Methods
	approach	approached? e.g. face-to-	participants were	(Participants
		face, telephone, mail,	approached by phone or	and
		email	email by a member of the	recruitment)
			study team and were	
			invited to take part.	
12.	Sample size	How many participants	18	Results
		were in the study?		(Participants)
13.	Non-participation	How many people	Participants who did not	Results
		refused to participate or	take part either withdrew	(Participants)
		dropped out? Reasons?	before their interview was	
			due ( <i>n</i> =4; 9%), could not	
			be contacted by phone or	
			email after multiple	
			attempts ( <i>n</i> =18; 41%) or	
			were too busy ( <i>n</i> =4; 9%).	
Settin	ng	1	ı	I
14.	Setting of data	Where was the data	Telephone	Methods (Data
	collection	collected? e.g. home,		collection)
		clinic, workplace		
	1	1	<u> </u>	1

No	Item	Guide	Comments	Location in
		questions/description		manuscript
15.	Presence of non-	Was anyone else present	No	-
	participants	besides the participants		
		and researchers?		
16.	Description of	What are the important	Demographic information	Table 1;
	sample	characteristics of the	can be found in Table 1.	Methods (data
		sample? e.g.	Interviews took place	collection)
		demographic data, date	between July 2017 and	
			January 2018	
Data	collection			
17.	Interview guide	Were questions,	The interview schedules	Supplementary
		prompts, guides	can be found in	Note 2
		provided by the authors?	Supplementary Note 2. It	
		Was it pilot tested?	was reviewed a PPI	
			representative.	
18.	Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews	Only single interviews	-
		carried out? If yes, how	were carried out.	
		many?		
19.	Audio/visual	Did the research use	Audio	Methods (Data
	recording	audio or visual recording		collection)
		to collect the data?		
20.	Field notes	Were field notes made	Field notes were not	-
		during and/or after the	made.	
		interview or focus		
		group?		

No	Item	Guide	Comments	Location in
		questions/description		manuscript
21.	Duration	What was the duration of	Between 21-65 minutes	Methods (Data
		the interviews or focus		collection)
		group?		
22.	Data saturation	Was data saturation	Data saturation was	Methods (Data
		discussed?	considered reached	analysis)
			because participants in	
			later interviews did not	
			indicate any significant	
			new benefits, concerns or	
			barriers to engagement	
			with My Breathing	
			Matters.	
23.	Transcripts	Were transcripts	No	-
	returned	returned to participants		
		for comment and/or		
		correction?		
Dom	ain 3: analysis and fi	ndings		
	analysis	<del></del>		
	•			
24.	Number of data	How many data coders	One (KG) but the coding	Methods (Data
	coders	coded the data?	manual was discussed	analysis)
			and agreed with two other	
			researchers (BA & Y)	
		l	l	

No	Item	Guide	Comments	Location in
		questions/description		manuscript
25.	Description of the	Did authors provide a	Yes in Supplementary	Supplementary
	coding tree	description of the coding	Table 1	Table 1
		tree?		
26.	Derivation of	Were themes identified	Derived from the data	Methods (Data
	themes	in advance or derived	(inductive analysis).	analysis)
		from the data?		
27.	Software	What software, if	QSR's NVivo 11 was	Methods (Data
		applicable, was used to	used.	analysis)
		manage the data?		
28.	Participant	Did participants provide	No, but the final	Methods (Data
	checking	feedback on the	interpretations were	analysis)
		findings?	reviewed and agreed with	
			two PPI representatives.	
Repo	prting	l		
29.	Quotations	Were participant	Participant quotations are	Results
	presented	quotations presented to	presented and each	(Qualitative
		illustrate the themes /	quotation is identified by	interviews)
		findings? Was each	a pseudonym and their	
		quotation identified? e.g.	gender, age and asthma	
		participant number	duration is noted.	
30.	Data and findings	Was there consistency	Yes	Results
	consistent	between the data		(Qualitative
		presented and the		interviews)
		findings?		

No	Item	Guide	Comments	Location in
		questions/description		manuscript
31.	Clarity of major	Were major themes	Yes	Results
	themes	clearly presented in the		(Qualitative
		findings?		interviews)
32.	Clarity of minor	Is there a description of	Diverse cases are	Results
	themes	diverse cases or	discussed.	(Qualitative
		discussion of minor		interviews);
		themes?	Coding tree presented in	Supplementary
			in Supplementary Table	Table 1
			1.	

# Supplementary Table 3 SRQR checklist for qualitative interviews

No	Item	Description	Location in manuscript
Title	and abstract		
1.	Title	Concise description of the	Title identifies research as a mixed
		nature and topic of the study.	methods study, which includes
		Identifying the study as	qualitative research.
		qualitative or indicating the	
		approach (e.g., ethnography,	
		grounded theory) or data	
		collection	
		methods (e.g., interview, focus	
		group) is recommended.	
2.	Abstract	Summary of key elements of	Abstract formatted as per npj
		the study using the abstract	Primary Care Respiratory Medicine
		format of the intended	guidelines.
		publication; typically includes	
		background, purpose, methods,	
		results, and conclusions	
Intro	duction		
3.	Problem	Description and significance of	Given in introduction.
	formulation	the problem/phenomenon	
		studied;	
		review of relevant theory and	
		empirical work; problem	
		statement.	

No	Item	Description	Location in manuscript
4.	Purpose or research	Purpose of the study and	Aims given in last paragraph of
	question	specific objectives or questions	introduction.
Meth	ods		
5.	Qualitative	Qualitative approach (e.g.,	Mixed methods research; inductive
	approach and	ethnography,	thematic analysis. Approach
	research paradigm	grounded theory, case study,	detailed in the data analysis section.
		phenomenology, narrative	
		research) and guiding theory if	
		appropriate; identifying the	
		research paradigm (e.g., post-	
		positivist,	
		constructivist/interpretivist)	
		is also recommended;	
		rationale.	
6.	Researcher	Researchers' characteristics	Characteristics of the interviewer,
	characteristics and	that may influence	including credentials, relationship
	reflexivity	the research, including	with participants and involvement
		personal attributes,	in intervention development, given
		qualifications/experience,	in data collection section.
		relationship with participants,	
		assumptions, and/or	
		presuppositions; potential or	
		actual interaction between	
		researchers' characteristics and	
		the research questions,	

No	Item	Description	Location in manuscript
		approach, methods, results	
		and/or	
		transferability.	
7.	Context	Setting/site and salient	Feasibility trial participants
		contextual factors; rationale.	recruited from primary care.
			Interviews carried out by telephone.
			Detail given in participants and
			recruitment section.
8.	Sampling strategy	How and why research	Study was nested within a
		participants, documents, or	feasibility randomised controlled
		events were	trial study. All participants from
		selected; criteria for deciding	intervention arm were approached.
		when no further sampling was	Data saturation was considered
		necessary (e.g., sampling	reached because participants in
		saturation); rationale.	later interviews did not indicate any
			significant new benefits, concerns
			or barriers to engagement with My
			Breathing Matters. Detail given in
			participants and recruitment
			section.
9.	Ethical issues	Documentation of approval by	Ethical approval was granted and
	pertaining to	an	details are reported in the Design
	human subjects	appropriate ethics review	section.
		board and participant consent,	
		or explanation for lack thereof;	
		other	
<u></u>	1	1	

on methods,
of the interview
dates, given in
n section.
hedules can be
nentary Note 2.
audio-recorded
ollection section).
formation can be

No	Item	Description	Location in manuscript
		events included in the study;	
		level of participation.	
13.	Data processing	Methods for processing data	Transcription, use of pseudonyms,
		prior to and during analysis,	and data handling approach is
		including	outlined in the data collection and
		transcription, data entry, data	analysis sections.
		management and security,	
		verification of data integrity,	
		data	
		coding and anonymization /	
		de-identification of excerpts	
14.	Data analysis	Process by which inferences,	Content and thematic analysis
		themes, etc. were identified	approaches are outlined in the data
		and developed,	analysis sections. Structure of codes
		including the researchers	and themes provided in
		involved in data analysis;	Supplementary Table 1.
		usually references a specific	
		paradigm or	
		approach; rationale.	
15.	Techniques to	Techniques to enhance	Techniques to enhance
	enhance	trustworthiness and	trustworthiness is outlined in the
	trustworthiness	credibility of data	data analysis and strengths and
		analysis,(e.g., member	limitations section.
		checking, triangulation, audit	
		trail); rationale	
Results/Findings			

No	Item	Description	Location in manuscript
16.	Synthesis and	Main findings (e.g.,	A diagram of the main qualitative
	interpretation	interpretations, inferences, and	findings is presented in Figure 4
		themes); might include	and the findings are discussed in
		development of a theory or	relation to prior research in the
		model, or integration with	discussion.
		prior research or	
		theory	
17.	Links to empirical	Evidence (e.g., quotes, field	Anonymised quotes are provided
	data	notes, text excerpts,	throughout the results section to
		photographs)	support the qualitative themes.
		to substantiate analytic	
		findings.	
Discussion			
18.	Integration with	Short summary of main	The discussion explains how the
	prior work,	findings, explanation of how	findings support and build on
	implications,	findings and conclusions	previous research and highlights the
	transferability, and	connect to,	unique contribution of this research.
	contribution(s) to	support, elaborate on, or	
	the	challenge conclusions of	
	field	earlier scholarship; discussion	
		of scope of	
		application/generalizability;	
		identification of unique	
		contribution(s) to scholarship	
		in a discipline	

No	Item	Description	Location in manuscript
		or field.	
19.	Limitations:	Trustworthiness and	The strengths and limitations are
		limitations of findings	outlined in the discussion. Further
			details of the steps taken to increase
			the trustworthiness of the research
			is outlined in the data analysis
			section.
Other			
20.	Conflicts of interest	Potential sources of influence	Competing interests are declared at
		or perceived influence on study	the end of the manuscript.
		conduct and conclusions; how	
		these were managed.	
21.	Funding	Sources of funding and other	Sources of funding are detailed in
		support; role of funders in data	the acknowledgments section.
		collection,	
		interpretation, and reporting	



**Supplementary Figure 1:** Screenshot of My Breathing Matters pages to engage people who do not view themselves as having active asthma



# Take a minute for My Breath Check

The aim of My Breathing Matters is to help you stay healthy, with as few signs of any asthma as possible.

My Breath Check will take just a minute, and will help you find information that is right for you. Firstly, please use the sliders to enter some details below about how your breathing has been in the last week.





Next

Supplementary Figure 2: Screenshot of the My Breathing Matters breath check



# **Breathing Retraining Main Menu**

More sessions will become available shortly after you complete each one. In the meantime, why not practice the sessions that are available to you below? The more you practice, the better you'll be!



menu buttons at the top of the page.

- If you'd like to reread the information about about the challenge (what it is, and how it works) then click here.
- . If you want, you can track your progress using a Breathing Retraining Progress Chart find out more here.

'unlocking' feature

Supplementary Figure 3: Screenshot of My Breathing Matters breathing retraining with

## **Supplementary Note 1: My Breathing Matters Satisfaction Questionnaire**

INSTRUCTIONS: Please only answer the below questions if you registered with the My Breathing Matters website in the last 12 months. Please tick one answer for each question.

1.	Did you think there were any benefits of u	using My Breathing Matters?
	No benefit at all	
	Very little benefit	
	Some benefit	
	Quite a bit of benefit	
	A large amount of benefit	
	If any benefits, please note them down bel	ow:
2.	Did you think there were any disadvantag	ges of using My Breathing Matters?
	No disadvantages at all	
	Very little disadvantages	
	Some disadvantages	
	Quite a bit of disadvantages	
	A large amount of disadvantages	

If any disadvantages, please note them down below:

3.	How likely are you to recommend My Breathing Matters to friends and family		
	if they needed similar care and treatment	?	
	Extremely likely		
	Likely		
	Neither likely or unlikely		
	Extremely unlikely		
	Don't know		

### **Supplementary Note 2: Interview schedule**

## Interview questions for intervention participants who have logged on

- Q1. Can you tell me what it's like to have asthma?
- Q2. I'm really interested in hearing about your experiences of using My Breathing Matters, can you tell me all about it?
- Q3. Can you tell me about anything you liked about My Breathing Matters?
- Q4. Can you tell me about anything you disliked about My Breathing Matters?
- Q5. Can you tell me about any advantages of using My Breathing Matters for you?
- Q6. Can you tell me about any disadvantages of using My Breathing Matters for you?
- Q7. The research will continue for another 9 months. Do you think you will keep on using My Breathing Matters over this time? [Prompts: Why/why not?]
- Q8. Would you recommend My Breathing Matters to other people with asthma? [Prompts: Why/Why not?]
- Q9. Since using My Breathing Matters, how do you feel about your asthma now?
- Q10. Can you tell me about anything that you feel has changed from using My Breathing Matters?
  - a. Can you tell me about what changed? (e.g. anything different in your day-to-day life, the way you are managing your asthma?)
  - b. Can you tell me how you came to notice things changing?
  - c. Why do you think these things changed?
- Q11. When do you think My Breathing Matters would be most helpful to you?
- Q12. When do you think My Breathing Matters would not be helpful to you?

## For each component:

- Q13. [If didn't use] Can you tell me why you decided not to use this part of My Breathing Matters?
- Q14. [If used] Can you tell me about how you found this section? [Prompts: What did you like/dislike? Can you tell me about any problems you came across when doing the challenge?]

#### Emails:

- Q15. Can you tell me about how you felt when My Breathing Matters sent you emails?
- Q16. Can you tell me what you thought about what the emails said?
- Q17. Can you tell me your thoughts about how often you received the emails?
- Q18. Can you tell me about any other ways you would like My Breathing Matters to contact you?
- Q19. Can you tell me about any advantages of getting these emails?
- Q20. Can you tell me about any disadvantages of getting these emails?

## Interview questions for intervention participants who have not logged on

- Q1. Can you tell me what it's like to have asthma?
- Q2. We are interested to hear from people who did not use My Breathing Matters, can you tell me why you have not used My Breathing Matters?
- Q3. What are your thoughts on using a website to help you to manage your asthma?
- Q4. The research will continue for another 9 months. Do you think you will use My Breathing Matters over this time? [Prompts: Why/why not?]